



Federal Court of Australia
District Registry: Queensland
Division: General

No: QUD591/2015

**GRAEME CLARKE AND MARION CLARKE IN THEIR CAPACITY AS
TRUSTEES OF THE G&M CLARKE SUPERANNUATION FUND**
Plaintiff

SANDHURST TRUSTEES LIMITED ACN 004 030 737
Defendant

ORDER

JUDGE: JUSTICE GREENWOOD

DATE OF ORDER: 27 April 2016

WHERE MADE: Brisbane

THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

Interlocutory Hearing

1. The Defendant Applicant's interlocutory application be listed for hearing before Greenwood J on 12 May 2016 at 2.15pm.

Pleadings

2. The Plaintiffs have leave to file and serve the proposed Amended Statement of Claim in the form annexed to these orders and marked "A".
3. The Plaintiff to pay the Defendant's costs of and occasioned by the amendment.
4. The Defendant to file and serve its Defence to the Amended Statement of Claim by 4.00pm on 27 May 2016.
5. The Plaintiffs to file and serve their Reply to the Defence to the Amended Statement of Claim by 4.00pm on 3 June 2016.



Expert Evidence

6. The Plaintiffs to serve on the Defendant by 4.00pm on 27 May 2016 a summary of the expert evidence that is presently being contemplated to be adduced.
7. The Plaintiffs are to serve on the Defendant any expert evidence on which they intend to rely by 4.00pm on 1 August 2016, or such earlier date as such evidence is completed and available to be served.
8. The Defendant is to serve on the Plaintiffs any expert evidence on which they intend to rely by 4.00pm on 28 November 2016.
9. The Plaintiffs are to serve on the Defendant any expert evidence in reply by 4.00pm on 23 December 2016.

Mediation

10. The proceeding be referred to mediation by a private mediator, to be agreed by the parties.
11. The private mediation shall occur by 23 December 2016.

Tender Bundles

12. By 4.00 pm on 17 January 2017, the Plaintiffs serve on the Defendant an electronic list of the documents proposed to be tendered by them at trial, together with a disk or storage device containing an electronic copy of the documents that the Plaintiffs propose to tender at trial, to the extent that such documents are not otherwise annexed or exhibited to any Affidavit (**the Plaintiffs' Bundle**). Electronic documents in the Plaintiffs' Bundle shall be in multiple page PDF, print enabled, text searchable format, unless the native format of any document does not lend itself to conversion to PDF (such as spreadsheets).
13. By 4.00 pm on 24 January 2017, the Defendant serve on the Plaintiffs an electronic list of the documents proposed to be tendered by it at trial, together with a disk or storage device containing an electronic copy of the documents that the Defendant proposes to tender at trial, to the extent that such documents are not otherwise annexed or exhibited to any Affidavit (**the Defendant's Bundle**). Electronic documents in the Defendant's Bundle



shall be in multiple page PDF, print enabled, text searchable format, unless the native format of any document does not lend itself to conversion to PDF (such as spreadsheets).

14. By 4.00 pm on 31 January 2017, the Plaintiffs serve on the Defendant, on a disk or storage device, an electronic index and electronic copy of all documents proposed to be tendered by the parties at the trial, including the Plaintiffs' Bundle, the Defendant's Bundle and any additional documents the parties require (**the Tender Bundle**), such index to be organised in chronological order as far as is practicable and to be duly paginated and hyperlinked to the multiple page PDF, print enabled, text searchable format copies of the documents (**the Consolidated Index**).
15. The electronic indexes to the Plaintiffs' Bundle, the Defendant's Bundle and the Tender Bundle must:
 - (a) adequately describe the documents that the party proposes to rely on at trial;
 - (b) be hyperlinked to multiple page PDF, print enabled, text searchable format copies of the documents unless the native format of any document does not lend itself to conversion to PDF (such as spreadsheets) in which case they must be hyperlinked to the native format of the document; and
 - (c) contain the following fields:
 - (i) document date or estimated date;
 - (ii) unique document identification number;
 - (iii) document type;
 - (iv) document title;
 - (v) author/from; and
 - (vi) recipient/to.
16. By 4.00 pm on 7 February 2017, the Plaintiffs to serve on the Defendant a draft electronic court book index.



17. By 4.00 pm on 14 February 2017, the Defendant to provide the Plaintiffs with a response to the draft electronic court book index.

18. By 4.00 pm on 21 February 2017, the Plaintiffs are to finalise the electronic court book and:

(a) provide a copy of the same to the Court; and

(b) serve a copy on the Defendant.

Final Hearing

19. There is to be a trial of all issues raised by the pleadings including the claim for damages by the Plaintiffs, except for the individual damages claims by other group members.


20. The proceeding be provisionally listed for hearing before Greenwood J on 10 April 2017 for a period of 3 weeks.

Further directions

21. The proceedings be listed for directions on 13 December 2016 at 9.30am.

22. There be liberty to apply on three days' notice.

Date that entry is stamped: 12 May 2016


Registrar



“A”

Form 17

Rule 8.05(1)(a)

Amended Statement of Claim

Filed pursuant to leave granted by Greenwood J on 12 May 2016

No. QUD591 of 2015

Federal Court of Australia

District Registry: Queensland

Division: General

**GRAEME CLARKE AND MARION CLARKE
in their capacity as Trustees of the G & M CLARKE SUPERANNUATION FUND**

Plaintiffs

SANDHURST TRUSTEES LIMITED ACN 004 830 737

Defendant

The Plaintiffs

1. The Plaintiffs bring this proceeding as a representative party of the Group Members pursuant to Pt IVA of the *Federal Court of Australia Act 1976* (C'th).
2. The Plaintiffs are, and were at all material times, the trustees of the G & M Clarke Superannuation Fund.
3. The Plaintiffs are, and have been since 4 September 2008, holders of unsecured deposit notes (“Notes”) issued by Wickham Securities Limited (in liquidation) ACN 111 421 811 (“Wickham”).



Particulars

Date	Term of investment	Amount
4 September 2008	5 years	\$45,000.00
4 September 2008	5 years	\$45,000.00
9 August 2010	5 years	\$50,000.00
3 September 2012	1 year	\$100,000.00
	Subtotal	\$240,000.00
	Amount paid back or withdrawn	<u>20,000.00</u>
	Total	\$220,000.00

Wickham

4. Wickham:

- (a) is a corporation duly registered under the *Corporations Act 2001* (C'th) ("*Corporations Act*")
- (b) was incorporated on or about 18 October 2004;
- (c) at all material times carried on the business of borrowing money from the public by issuing Notes under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*;
- (d) at all material times invested those funds so raised in first and second ranking mortgages;
- (e) entered into voluntary administration by resolution of its directors under s.436A of the *Corporations Act* on 21 December 2012;
- (f) entered into liquidation pursuant to a creditors' voluntary winding up on 6 February 2013.



The Group Members

5. The members of the Group to whom this proceeding relates (“**Group Members**”) are those persons and entities:
- (a) who were a holder of Notes issued by Wickham as at 21 December 2012; and
 - (b) who have suffered loss and damage by reason of the conduct of the Defendant as pleaded in this Statement of Claim; and
 - (c) who have signed a relevant funding agreement with Litman Holdings Pty Ltd.

Particulars

At the time of filing of the Statement of Claim the Group Members exceeded 7 persons or entities in number.

The Defendant

6. The Defendant, Sandhurst Trustees Limited (“**Sandhurst**”):
- (a) is a company duly registered under the *Corporations Act*;
 - (b) at all material times carried on the business of providing trustee services, including trustee services to companies which had issued Notes under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*;
 - (c) at all material times held itself out as having particular knowledge, skill and experience in the provision of trustee services.

Trust Deed for Notes

7. Sandhurst was at all material times the trustee for holders of Notes issued by Wickham under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* and under the Trust Deed made between Sandhurst and Wickham (“**the Trust Deed**”).



Particulars

- (a) On about 7 June 2005 Wickham and Sandhurst executed an Unsecured Note Trust Deed pursuant to which Sandhurst was appointed as Trustee (“**Unsecured Note Trust Deed**”);
- (b) The Unsecured Note Trust Deed was amended by Supplemental Note Trust Deed No 1 dated 7 July 2006 (“**Supplemental Unsecured Note Trust Deed No 1**”) and Supplemental Unsecured Note Trust Deed No 2 dated 23 April 2009 (“**Supplemental Unsecured Note Trust Deed No 2**”)

8. At all material times the Trust Deed:

- (a) provided that Sandhurst was appointed by Wickham to act as trustee for the Noteholders pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Trust Deed.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 1.1(a)

- (b) provided that Sandhurst agreed to hold on trust for the benefit of the Noteholders for so long as the trust established by the Trust Deed continued:
 - (i) the right to enforce Wickham’s duty to pay any money owing to the Noteholders under the Trust Deed;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 1.1(b)(i)

- (ii) any charge or security for the payment of any money owing to the Noteholders under the Trust Deed;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 1.1(b)(ii)

- (iii) the right to enforce any other duties Wickham has under Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* and the Trust Deed;



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 1.1(b)(iii)

- (iv) all other property acquired by Sandhurst and intended to be held for the benefit of Noteholders on the trust established by the Trust Deed;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 1.1(b)(iv)

- (c) Wickham could from time to time determine the types or classes of Notes it was willing to issue in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Trust Deed and subject to the applicable conditions.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.1(a).

- (d) The Notes would be issued subject to the Trust Deed and upon the terms and conditions set out in a Prospectus.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.1(c).

- (e) provided that despite anything else in the Trust Deed, Wickham could only apply the money raised through the issue of Notes (“**Note Money**”) or the following purposes:
 - (i) to provide finance in accordance with the Lending and Security Criteria for the acquisition and/or development of real property and/or related working capital purposes;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(i)

- (ii) in payment of any commission, procurator fee or brokerage Wickham may pay in accordance with clause 2.5 of the Trust Deed and the terms of the Prospectus;



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(ii)

(iii) to invest from time to time in Authorised Investments;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(iii)

(iv) in payment of the principal amount of any Note on its maturity date or earlier repayment;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(iv)

(v) for Wickham's own working capital purposes;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(v)

(vi) for any other purpose disclosed in the Prospectus.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 2.11(a)(vi)

(f) defined "Lending and Security Criteria" to include the following criteria:

(i) all loans were to be fully documented and made on an arm's length, commercial basis as to interest, terms and security;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Commercial terms).

(ii) loans made prior to 9 July 2006 could be made for terms of no more than 12 months but loans made on or after 9 July 2006 could be made for terms of no more than 24 months;



Particulars

- (A) Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Term of loans).
 - (B) Supplemental Note Trust Deed No 1, clause 2.
- (iii) Wickham would not advance loan funds until obtaining from the borrower (and any applicable guarantors) security which was sufficient to satisfy all of the obligations owed to Wickham under the applicable loan and, where applicable, any prior-ranking security interests;

Particulars

- Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Security).
- (iv) Wickham would not advance loan funds unless a registrable first or second mortgage over real property security was held to secure the principal and interest under the applicable loan;

Particulars

- Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Security).
- (v) if Wickham required collateral security, then it would not advance loan funds until it had first obtained the required collateral security;

Particulars

- Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Security).
- (vi) the maximum amount that could be advanced in respect of any loan (“**Facility Limit**”) was 85% of the independent valuation of the real property held to secure the loan;

Particulars

- Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Security).



- (vii) the amount of a proposed loan must be aggregated with any amounts secured by prior or equal ranking Security Interest when calculating the Facility Limit;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Security).

- (viii) the value of real property offered as security must be determined at the market value for mortgage lending purposes by a registered valuer approved by, but independent of, Wickham;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Independent valuation of real property provided as security).

- (ix) valuations must be less than 6 months old at the time of any loan approval;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Independent valuation of real property provided as security).

- (x) in the case of development of real property (where permitted under the terms of the relevant Prospectus) Wickham would only advance funds after reviewing, and being satisfied with, a detailed feasibility report prepared by or for the borrower which evidenced the viability and profitability of the development project;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Development projects).

- (xi) where the construction phase of the development had not commenced, the value of real property would be taken as the higher of its purchase price or its “as is” value as determined by the valuer;



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Development projects).

- (xii) where the construction phase had commenced, the value would be calculated as the “on completion” value multiplied by the percentage of completion of the development works;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Development projects).

- (xiii) where the security property was improved land, it must be insured at the cost of the borrower to its full replacement value as at the date of the loan;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Insurance of real property security).

- (xiv) prior to agreeing to any proposed loan, Wickham would assess the ability of any potential borrower to meet payments of interest and principal when due under the proposed loan;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Credit assessment of borrowers).

- (xv) the credit assessment process would include obtaining a credit bureau reference check in respect of each borrower or third party security provider, which must be less than 6 months old at the time of the loan approval.

Particulars



Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1 and Schedule 4 (Credit assessment of borrowers).

- (g) provided that the terms and conditions of the Trust Deed and the conditions of the Notes are binding on Wickham, Sandhurst, each Noteholder and all persons claiming through them respectively as if those persons were a party to the Trust Deed.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 3.1.

- (h) provided that the Conditions applicable to all Notes set out in Schedule 3 and any Condition determined by Wickham, in accordance with the Trust Deed, apply in respect of a Note would, so far as that Note was concerned, be deemed to be part of the Trust Deed and to have effect as contained in the Trust Deed.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 3.2.

- (i) provided that Wickham covenanted with Sandhurst and for the benefit of Noteholders.
 - (i) Wickham would at all times comply in all material respects with the *Corporations Act* and the provisions of the Trust Deed.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(a).

- (ii) Wickham would execute and do all things necessary to give effect to the Trust Deed and confer the full benefit of the Trust Deed upon the Noteholders.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(b).



- (iii) Wickham would at all material times conduct its business in a proper and efficient manner (“**Business Conduct Obligation**”).

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(c).

- (iv) Wickham would promptly, and to the extent practicable within 5 business days give to Sandhurst any information it may reasonably require for the purposes of the Trust Deed or to discharge its obligations at law.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(d).

- (v) Wickham would promptly and, in any event within 5 business days of becoming aware of the happening of an Event of Default, provide Sandhurst with written notice of an Event of Default and the action proposed to be taken by Wickham to remedy it.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(f).

- (vi) Wickham would at all times observe and perform in all material respects all the relevant covenants, obligations and conditions contained in any Security Interest to which it or any of its assets are subject.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.1(g).

- (j) defined “Security Interest” to mean any mortgage, charge, pledge, bill of sale, title retention arrangement, trust or power which was or had the effect of a security for the payment of a debt or other monetary obligation or the compliance with any other obligation.



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.

- (k) provided that Wickham would duly comply with all requirements of the *Corporations Act* and any other statutory requirements including, without limitation, with respect to the filing or giving of reports and statements, the registration or any Security Interest and the administration and keeping open of registers of Noteholders for inspection.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.2(a).

- (l) provided that Wickham would at all material times maintain Net Tangible Assets with a value at least equal to the Minimum Capital.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.4(a).

- (m) defined “Net Tangible Assets” to mean in relation to the date at which the determination is made, the value of the total assets of Wickham, less the aggregate value of all intangible assets and liabilities of Wickham, which would be disclosed in the balance sheet of Wickham prepared in accordance with the *Corporations Act* and (unless inconsistent with the *Corporations Act*) generally accepted accounting principles consistently applied.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.

- (n) defined “Minimum Capital” to mean the greater of \$300,000 or 3% of the aggregate Principal Amount of the Notes Outstanding.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.



- (o) defined “Principal Amount” to mean the principal amount outstanding from time to time under the Notes.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.

- (p) defined “Notes Outstanding” to mean all the Notes other than Notes which have been redeemed or purchased by Wickham and cancelled as provided for in clause 2.6.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.

- (q) Wickham would at all times comply with, observe and perform each of the covenants, obligations, expressly or impliedly contained in the Trust Deed.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 6.5.

- (r) Wickham would ensure any Security Interest given under the Trust Deed, or under Collateral Security, is registered or recorded as required by law in each place in which the property and assets so charged are or may be situated.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 8(a)(i)

- (s) provided that Wickham would keep financial records which:
 - (i) correctly record and explain its transactions and financial position and performance; and

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 9.1(a)

- (ii) enable true and fair annual financial statements to be prepared and audited;



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 9.1(b)

- (t) provided that Wickham agreed to provide Sandhurst promptly, and to the extent practicable within 5 business days, with such information as Sandhurst reasonably requested about Wickham and any of its subsidiaries to enable Sandhurst to carry out its duties under the Trust Deed and the *Corporation Act*.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 9.2(a).

- (u) provided that where the information requested in clause 9.2(a) of the Trust Deed related to financial information, Sandhurst could request Wickham to provide an auditor's certificate stating that the auditor had reviewed that financial information and acknowledged that based on the auditor's reasonable enquiries, nothing had come to the auditor's attention which caused the auditor to believe that the information provided to Sandhurst was incorrect or incomplete.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 9.2(b).

- (v) provided that Sandhurst covenanted to do the following:
 - (i) exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether the property of Wickham that was or should have been available (whether by way of security or otherwise) would be sufficient to repay the amount deposited or lent when it became due;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 12.1(a).

- (ii) exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether Wickham had committed any breach of the terms of the Notes, the Trust Deed or



Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* (“**Reasonable Diligence Covenant**”);

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 12.1(b).

- (iii) do everything in its power to ensure Wickham remedied any breach known to Sandhurst of the terms of the Notes, the Trust Deed or Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* unless Sandhurst was satisfied any such breach did not materially prejudice the Noteholders’ interest or any security for the Notes;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 12.1(c).

- (iv) notify ASIC as soon as possible if Wickham had not complied with ss.283BE, 283BF, 318(1) or 318(4) of the *Corporations Act*.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 12.1(e).

- (w) provided that Sandhurst could (whenever it thought it expedient and in the interest of the Noteholders) apply to the Court for directions in relation to any questions arising either before or after the Outstanding Money had become payable and assent to and approve of or oppose any application to a Court made by or at the instance of any Noteholder.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 15.7(a)

- (x) provided that Sandhurst could (whenever it thought it expedient and in the interests of the Noteholders) at any time after the money owing under the Trust Deed became payable, apply to a Court for an order that the trusts under the Trust Deed be carried into execution under the direction of a Court and for any



other order or directions in relation to the administration of the trusts as Sandhurst may have deemed expedient.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 15.7(b).

(y) provided that Wickham agreed the Outstanding Money would, at the option of and upon service of a written notice by Sandhurst, become immediately due and payable by Wickham upon the occurrence of any of the following Events of Default:

(i) Wickham fails to make payment of any principal and interest in respect of any Note when due and such failure continues for a period of 14 days after the due date;

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 16.1(a).

(ii) Wickham is in default of any of its obligations under the Trust Deed (other than an obligation to pay money) or the Conditions of any Note issue and such default is not remedied within 30 days.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 16.1(b).

(z) defined “Outstanding Money” to mean the aggregate of:

(i) all amounts of principal and interest in respect of the Notes payable from time to time to, or at the direction of, Sandhurst or the Noteholders pursuant to the Trust Deed and the Conditions applicable to those Notes; and

(ii) all other amounts payable from time to time to Sandhurst pursuant to the Trust Deed, less amounts of principal and interest paid to Noteholders in respect of the Notes in accordance with the Conditions applicable to those Notes.



Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, Schedule 1.

9. Under the Trust Deed, Sandhurst held the Reasonable Diligence Covenant on trust for the benefit of the Noteholders.

Particulars

Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 11.1(a) & 12.1(b).

Prospectus

10. During the period from 8 June 2005 to 22 December 2010 Wickham issued to investors Prospectuses for an offer of Notes pursuant to Chapter 6D.2 of the *Corporations Act* (**Prospectus**).

Particulars

- (a) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 8 June 2005 (**8 June 2005 Prospectus**);
- (b) Supplementary Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 28 June 2006 (**28 June 2006 Prospectus**);
- (c) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 7 July 2006 (**7 July 2006 Prospectus**);
- (d) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 8 August 2007 (**8 August 2007 Prospectus**);
- (e) Supplementary Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 29 February 2008 (**29 February 2008 Prospectus**);
- (f) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 22 September 2008 (**22 September 2008 Prospectus**);
- (g) Supplementary Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 23 April 2009 (**23 April 2009 Prospectus**);
- (h) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 6 November 2009 (**6 November 2009 Prospectus**);
- (i) Supplementary Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 17 June 2010 (**17 June 2010 Prospectus**);



- (j) Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 15 December 2010 (**15 December 2010 Prospectus**);
- (k) Supplementary Prospectus lodged with ASIC on 22 December 2010 (**22 December 2010 Prospectus**);

11. The Prospectus provided:

- (a) Wickham would primarily lend funds through the issue of Notes to borrowers to assist in financing property investments and property-related transactions.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, sections 2.1 and 3.2;
- (ii) 28 June 2006 Prospectus, p.1;
- (iii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, sections 1.2 and 3.2;
- (iv) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, sections 2.1 and 3.3;
- (v) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 3.1;
- (vi) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 3.1;
- (vii) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 3.1.

- (b) Wickham would invest the funds so raised in a portfolio of high-yielding loans with a predetermined risk profile.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, p.7;
- (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, p.4;
- (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, p.4;
- (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, p.4;
- (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, p.4;
- (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, p.4.



- (c) Investors would benefit from Wickham's risk mitigation and management skills, comprehensive investment due diligence process and prudent credit criteria and loan structuring requirements.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, p.7;
- (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, p.4;
- (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, p.4;
- (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, p.4;
- (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, p.4;
- (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, p.4.

- (d) Wickham applied strict Lending Criteria prior to approving loans, including the requirement for either a first or second registered mortgage over real property.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 2.1;
- (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 2.1;
- (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 2.1;
- (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 2.1;
- (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 2.1;
- (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 2.1.

- (e) Prior to 8 July 2006 Wickham would not lend funds raised through the issue of Notes to borrowers to finance property development projects.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.2;
- (ii) 28 June 2006 Prospectus, p.1



(f) On or after 9 July 2006 Wickham would lend funds to borrowers to finance property development projects, subject to the following limitations:

(i) Only funds raised pursuant to a disclosure document issued by Wickham on or after 9 July 2006 could be applied for the financing of property development projects;

Particulars

28 June 2006 Prospectus, p.1.

(ii) No more than 40% of the total asset of Wickham could be applied in the financing of property development projects.

Particulars

(A) 28 June 2008 Prospectus, p.1;

(B) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.3;

(iii) Loans made for the purpose of financing property development projects must be secured and otherwise be made in accordance with the Lending Criteria and as permitted by the Trust Deed.

Particulars

(A) 28 June 2006 Prospectus, p.1;

(B) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.3;

(C) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.3;

(D) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 2.1;

(E) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 3.1;

(F) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 2.1;

(g) Wickham would not lend funds raised through the issue of Notes to borrowers who are deemed to be “related parties” to Wickham under the *Corporations Act*.



Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.2;
 - (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.3;
 - (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.3;
 - (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 2.6;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 2.6;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 2.6;
- (h) A typical process Wickham would follow in making investments and loans were summarised as follows:

Stage	Action	Responsibility
Stage 1	Sourcing investment opportunities and market research	Board
Stage 2	Board evaluation – feasibility study, credit analysis and deal structuring	Board
Stage 3	Preliminary evaluation by Investment Committee	Investment Committee
Stage 4	Indicative letter of offer provided to prospective borrower	Board
Stage 5	Initial due diligence and documentation of loan	Board
Stage 6	Final approval by Investment Committee	Investment Committee
Stage 7	Final letter of offer provided to prospective borrower	Board
Stage 8	Final due diligence (if applicable)	Board
Stage 9	Execution and drawdown	Board

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.2;
- (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.3;
- (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.3;



- (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.3;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.3;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.3
- (i) All loans and investments, other than permitted investments made by Wickham, by a unanimous decision of Wickham's Investment Committee which was appointed by and reported directly to the Board of Directors.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.5;
 - (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.6
- (j) Investment decisions were made only after a thorough assessment process had involved due diligence, market research and feasibility study.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.5;
 - (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.6
- (k) The Investment Committee actively monitored Wickham's risk exposure and level of return and was involved in the ongoing management of loans which included periodically monitoring the amount of new loans against the value of real estate taken as security for loans.



Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.5;
 - (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.6;
 - (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.6;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.6.
- (l) The Board of Directors of Wickham was responsible, among other things, for implementing Wickham's investment strategy and monitoring its investments.

Particulars

- (i) 8 June 2005 Prospectus, section 3.3;
 - (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.4;
 - (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.4;
 - (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.4;
 - (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.4;
 - (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.4.
- (m) Wickham would not apply more than 40% of its total assets in the financing of the construction of property development projects.

Particulars

- (i) 28 June 2006 Prospectus, p.1;
- (ii) 7 July 2006 Prospectus, section 3.3;
- (iii) 8 August 2007 Prospectus, section 3.3;
- (iv) 22 September 2008 Prospectus, section 4.3;
- (v) 6 November 2009 Prospectus, section 4.3;
- (vi) 15 December 2010 Prospectus, section 4.3.



12. The matters referred to in paragraph 11 above set out the manner in which Wickham would comply with the Business Conduct Obligation and its obligations under s.283BB(a) of the *Corporations Act*.

Duties of Wickham under the *Corporations Act*

13. At all material times, Wickham had obligations to:

- (a) carry on and conduct its business in a proper and efficient manner;

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283BB(a)

- (b) make all of its financial and other records available for inspection by Sandhurst, an officer or employee of Sandhurst authorised to carry out the inspection or a registered company auditor appointed by Sandhurst to carry out the inspection, and give them any information, explanations or other assistance that they may require about matters relating to those records;

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283BB(c)

- (c) within one month after the end of each quarter, give Sandhurst a quarterly report that set out the information required by s.283BF(4), (5) and (6) of the *Corporations Act*.

Particulars

Corporations Act, 283BF(1)(a)

Duties of Sandhurst under the *Corporations Act*

14. At all material times, Sandhurst as trustee of the Trust Deed, had obligations to:

- (a) exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether the property of Wickham that was or should have been available (whether by way of security or



otherwise) would be sufficient to repay the amount deposited or lent when it became due; and

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283DA (a)

- (b) exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether Wickham had committed any breach of the provisions of the Trust Deed or Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act*; and

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283DA (b) (i)

- (c) do everything in its power to ensure that Wickham remedied any breach known to Sandhurst of any provision of the Trust Deed or Chapter 2L of the *Corporations Act* unless Sandhurst was satisfied that the breach would not materially prejudice the Noteholders' [interests](#) or any security for the Notes; and

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283DA (c)(ii)

- (d) notify ASIC as soon as practicable if Wickham had not complied with section 283BF of the *Corporations Act*.

Particulars

Corporations Act, s 283DA (e)(i)

Loan Transactions

- 15. During the period from about 8 June 2005 until 21 December 2012, Wickham used Note Money to provide loans to borrowers (“**Wickham Loans**”).

Particulars

- (a) SP3 Avalon Pty Ltd ATF Avalon Unit Trust Deed (“**Avalon**”);

Sub-particulars



- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Avalon dated 23 December 2010
- (B) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and Avalon dated 14 March 2012.
- (b) Avpri Pty Ltd ATF Avpri Unit Trust (“**Avpri**”);
Sub-particulars
 - (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Avpri dated 15 September 2006.
 - (B) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and Avpri. .
 - (C) Unexecuted deed of assignment of debt between Wickham, Avpri and Blue Diamond Investments Pty Ltd dated 3 March 2009.
- (c) Ball Constructions Pty Ltd (“**Ball Constructions**”);
Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Ball Constructions dated 28 February 2008.
- (d) Jason Thomas Humphreys and Afton Ashley Ball (“**Ball & Humphreys**”);
Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Ball & Humphreys dated 13 May 2010.
- (e) Back Georgiadis Stoyel Pty Ltd ATF BGS Investment Trust (“**BGS**”);
Sub-particulars

Unexecuted fetter agreement between Wickham and BGS dated 6 March 2006.
- (f) BHG Securities Pty Ltd ATF the Jakai Unit Trust (“**BHG Securities**”);
Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and BHG Securities dated 31 December 2007.
- (g) Bucasia Holdings Pty Ltd ATF Bucasia Development Unit Trust (“**Bucasia**”);
Sub-particulars



- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Bucasia dated 9 July 2008.
- (B) Variations of agreement between Wickham and Bucasia dated 24 April 2009, 15 May 2009, 1 September 2009 and 3 March 2010.
- (h) Cadex Varsity Partners Pty Ltd ATF Cadex Varsity Partners Unit Trust (“**Cadex Varsity**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Cadex Varsity dated 23 February 2010.

- (i) Central Avenue Project Pty Ltd (“**Central Avenue**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Central Avenue dated 24 October 2006.

- (j) Robert John Conquest & Ann Conquest (“**Conquest**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Conquest dated 30 August 2006.

- (k) Andrew Geoffrey James Dean (“**Dean**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Dean dated 18 October 2007.

- (B) Extension approval by Wickham dated 1 June 2008.

- (C) Refinance approval by Wickham dated 8 July 2008.

- (l) John Patrick Dooney (“**Dooney**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Dooney dated 1 November 2006.

- (B) Variation of agreement between Wickham and Dooney dated 15 January 2008.



- (m) Epsaltos Pty Ltd ATF The Iskander Family Trust (“**Epsaltos**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Epsaltos dated 21 December 2010.

- (n) Everton Park Development Pty Ltd ATF Everton Park Development Unit Trust (“**Everton Park**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Everton Park dated 10 October 2006.

- (o) Ian Charles George (“**George**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and George dated 30 May 2005.
- (B) Facility agreement between Wickham and George dated 13 July 2006.
- (C) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and George dated 13 September 2007.

- (p) Giant Developments Pty Ltd (“**Giant Developments**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Giant Developments dated 26 February 2008 as amended by letter agreement between Wickham and Giant Developments dated 8 September 2008 (countersigned by Giant Developments on 8 September 2007).

- (q) Glenview Projects Pty Ltd (“**Glenview**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Glenview dated 19 November 2007.
- (B) Variations of agreement between Wickham and Glenview dated 20 October 2008 and 14 December 2009.

- (r) Goodwood Terraces Pty Ltd ATF 38 Brays Road Unit Trust (“**Goodwood**”);

Sub-particulars



- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Freshwater Villas Pty Ltd ATF 38 Brays Road Unit Trust dated 3 December 2010.
- (B) Deed of Removal and Appointment of Trustee of the 38 Brays Road Unit Trust between Goodwood, CCSC27 Pty Ltd ATF the Keating Family Trust and Allville Pty Ltd ATF the DJB Investment Trust, dated 26 May 2011.
- (s) Harbour Arbour Developments Pty Ltd ATF Harbour Arbour Unit Trust (“**Harbour Arbour**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Harbour Arbour dated 30 August 2006 as amended by extension approval given by Wickham 27 April 2007.
- (B) Facility agreement between Wickham and Harbour Arbour dated 16 October 2006 as amended by extension approval given by Wickham 27 April 2007.
- (t) Hardel Investments Pty Ltd ATF Hardel Investments Unit Trust (“**Hardel**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Hardel dated 9 December 2005.
- (B) Facility agreement between Wickham and Hardel dated 14 November 2006 as amended by variations to agreement between Wickham and Hardel dated 23 January 2008 and 3 March 2009.
- (u) Hongrove Pty Ltd ATF Williams Family Trust No 2, Vintage Property Group Pty Ltd ATF the Vintage Property Trust and WRL Holdings Pty Ltd ATF for WRL Trust, as joint borrowers (“**Hongrove**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Hongrove dated 4 May 2012.

- (v) Barry Edward Ingleton (“**Ingleton**”);

Sub-particulars



- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Ingleton dated 11 October.
- (B) Unexecuted variation to agreement between Wickham and Ingleton dated 6 March 2009.

- (w) Ivory Properties Pty Ltd ATF The Kurrajong Estate Family Trust (“**Ivory Properties**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Ivory Properties dated 28 November 2006.

- (x) Stephanie Therese Kent (“**Kent**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Kent dated 13 May 2010.

- (y) Keytan Pty Ltd ATF the Keytan Trust (“**Keytan**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Keytan dated 23 July 2007.

- (z) Revon Aden Slade King (“**King**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and King dated 13 July 2006.

(B) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and King dated 13 September 2007.

- (aa) Michael Kirby ATF the BTN1 Unit Trust (“**Kirby BTN1**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN1 dated 2 October 2006.

(B) Unexecuted letter agreement between Wickham and Kirby dated 20 September 2007.

- (bb) Michael Kirby ATF the BTN5 Unit Trust (“**Kirby BTN5**”);

Sub-particulars



- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN5 dated 13 October 2006.
- (B) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN5 dated 19 April 2007.
- (C) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN5 dated 8 August 2008.

(cc) Kirby ATF BTN6 Unit Trust (“**Kirby BTN6**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN6 dated 16 January 2008
- (B) Extension approval by Wickham dated 18 August 2008.
- (C) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Kirby BTN6 dated 24 July 2009.

(dd) Kopaka Holdings Pty Ltd (“**Kopaka**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Kopaka dated 5 April 2007.
- (B) Letter agreements between Wickham and Kopaka dated 6 August 2008 (countersigned by Kopaka on 6 August 2008) and 12 February 2009 (countersigned by Kopaka on 12 February 2009).

(ee) LCL Developments Pty Ltd (“**LCL Developments**”);

Sub-particulars

- (A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and LCL Developments dated 17 March 2008.
- (B) Unexecuted letter agreement between Wickham and LCL Developments dated 23 September 2008.

(ff) Lifestyle Resorts Riverside Project Pty Ltd ATF the Riverside Village Development Unit Investment Trust (“**Lifestyle Resorts**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Lifestyle Resorts dated 25 May 2007.



(gg) Living on Lee Street Pty Ltd (“**Living on Lee**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Living on Lee dated 1 November 2010.

(hh) Helene Jane Lynch (“**Lynch**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility Agreement between Wickham and Lynch dated 12 July 2006.

(B) Unexecuted variation of agreement between Wickham and Lynch dated 12 September 2007.

(ii) Mackay Living Pty Ltd (“**Mackay Living**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Mackay Living dated 19 April 2007.

(B) Variation of agreement between Wickham and Mackay Living dated 24 January 2008.

(jj) Mali Global Trading Pty Ltd ATF Prime Capital Investments Unit Trust (“**Mali Global**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Mali dated 1 August 2006.

(kk) Magnolia Grove Investments Pty Ltd ATF Magnolia Grove Unit Trust (“**Magnolia Grove**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Magnolia Grove dated 10 July 2007.

(ll) Mintgrove Pty Ltd ATF the Mintgrove Trust (“**Mintgrove**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Mintgrove dated 2 March 2007.



(B) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Mintgrove dated 30 October 2007.

(C) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Mintgrove dated 29 January 2008.

(mm) MSM Developments Pty Ltd ATF The Sure Frank Family Trust (“**MSM Developments**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and MSM Developments dated 24 November 2005.

(B) Variation of agreement between Wickham and MSM Developments dated 10 May 2006.

(C) Facility agreement between Wickham and MSM Developments dated 10 March 2006.

(D) Facility Agreement between Wickham and MSM Developments dated 25 July 2006.

(E) Variation of agreement between Wickham and MSM Developments dated 23 February 2007.

(nn) PBI Kelvin Grove Pty Ltd (“**PBI Kelvin Grove**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and PBI Kelvin Grove dated 8 June 2007.

(oo) Plantation Rise Pty Ltd (“**Plantation Rise**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Plantation Rise dated 12 May 2006.

(B) Variations of agreement between Wickham and Plantation Rise on 9 July 2007 and 28 March 2008.

(pp) Pressmint Ltd ATF the Pressmint Trust (“**Pressmint**”);

Sub-particulars



(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Pressmint dated 1 November 2006.

(B) Letter of agreement between Wickham and Pressmint dated 9 November 2007 (countersigned by Pressmint on 12 November 2007).

(qq) Queensland Property Partners Pty Ltd (“**QPP**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility Agreement between Wickham and QPP dated 4 December 2009.

(rr) Rangegale Pty Ltd (“**Rangegale**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Rangegale dated 30 October 2006.

(B) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Rangegale dated 28 March 2007.

(ss) Rebellious Pty Ltd ATF the Andrew Dean Family Trust (“**Rebellious**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Rebellious dated 13 November 2007.

(tt) John Reichstein (“**Reichstein**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Reichstein dated 13 July 2010.

(uu) Sherben Developments Pty Ltd ATF The Lucas Street Trust (“**Sherben**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Sherben dated 19 May 2006.

(vv) Sherwin Financial Planners Pty Ltd (“**Sherwin Financial Planners**”);

Sub-particulars

Letter of offer from Wickham to Sherwin Financial Planners dated 17 August 2012.



(ww) Sirford Pty Ltd ATF the Sirford Trust (“**Sirford**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Sirford dated 15 January 2008.

(xx) Summit View Meritor Pty Ltd ATF K2 Trust (“**Summit View Meritor**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Loan application by Summit View Meritor to Wickham dated 23 March 2010.

(B) Letter of approval by Wickham dated 26 March 2010.

(C) On or about 26 March 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$1,805,000.

(D) On or about 1 July 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$1,000,000.

(E) On or about 8 December 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$40,000.

(F) On or about 15 December 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$500,000.

(G) On or about 27 April 2011 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$66,545.

(H) On or about 25 May 2011 Wickham paid to Summit View Meritor an amount of \$160,000.

(yy) Summit View No.1 Pty Ltd ATF Summit View Property Trust No. 1 (“**Summit View No.1**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Loan application by Summit View No.1 to Wickham dated 23 June 2010.

(B) On or about 25 June 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$470,000.

(C) On or about 20 July 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$530,000.



- (D) On or about 17 August 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$1,440,000.
- (E) On or about 8 September 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$1,940,000.
- (F) On or about 20 December 2010 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$200,000.
- (G) On or about 24 January 2011 Wickham paid to Summit View No.1 an amount of \$150,000.

(zz) Surobesh Pty Ltd ATF RGSL Unit Trust (“**Surobesh**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Surobesh dated 26 September 2006.

(aaa) TBB Holdings Pty Ltd ATF Cedar Rise Trust (“**TBB Holdings**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and TBB Holdings dated 19 January 2006.

(B) Variations of agreement between Wickham and TBB Holdings dated 10 August 2006, 4 May 2007, 17 December 2007, 25 September 2008, 25 March 2009 and 9 October 2009.

(bbb) Tibbing Pty Ltd (“**Tibbing**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Tibbing dated 6 June 2006.

(ccc) Tiggi K Pty Ltd (“**Tiggi**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Tiggi dated 28 June 2006.



(ddd) Tomkat Projects Pty Ltd ATF Breeze Properties Trust (“**Tomkat Projects**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Tomkat Projects dated 30 January 2007.

(B) Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Tomkat Projects dated 6 May 2008.

(eee) Trihedral Properties (No. 3) Pty Ltd (“**Trihedral**”);

Sub-particulars

Facility agreement between Wickham and Trihedral dated 10 October 2005

(fff) Universal Self Storage Pty Ltd (“**Universal**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Universal dated 30 June 2008.

(ggg) Zoran Vela and Sarah Jane Vela ATF Z & S Vela Trust (“**Vela**”);

Sub-particulars

Unexecuted facility agreement between Wickham and Vela dated 28 January 2010.

(hhh) Wincorp (Australia) Pty Ltd (“**Wincorp**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Facility agreement between Wickham and Wincorp dated 23 June 2008

(B) Letter agreements between Wickham and Wincorp dated 9 July 2009 (countersigned by Wincorp on 10 July 2009) and 24 February 2010 (countersigned by Wickham on 22 March 2010).

(iii) WLP Pty Ltd ATF WL Projects Discretionary Trust (“**WLP**”);

Sub-particulars

(A) Wickham advanced a loan to WLP on or about 16 November 2006.

(B) Wickham advanced a loan to WLP on or about 31 March 2011.

(jjj) Zen Foundation One Pty Ltd (“**Zen Foundation**”).

Sub-particulars



Wickham advanced a loan to Zen Foundation on or about 2 February 2006.

Breaches of Lending and Security Criteria

16. During the period from about 8 June 2005 until 21 December 2012, Wickham breached the Lending and Security Criteria by:

(a) failing to ensure that all Wickham Loans were fully documented;

Particulars

- (i) Avalon
- (ii) Avpri
- (iii) Ball Constructions
- (iv) Ball & Humphreys
- (v) BGS
- (vi) Cadex Varsity
- (vii) Central Avenue
- (viii) Dean
- (ix) Epsaltos
- (x) George
- (xi) Hongrove
- (xii) Ivory Properties
- (xiii) King
- (xiv) Kirby BTN1
- (xv) Kirby BTN5
- (xvi) LCL Developments
- (xvii) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xviii) Living on Lee
- (xix) Lynch



- (xx) Mali Global
- (xxi) Mintgrove
- (xxii) QPP
- (xxiii) Rangegale
- (xxiv) Rebellious
- (xxv) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xxvi) Sirford
- (xxvii) Summit View No.1
- (xxviii) Summit View Meritor
- (xxix) Surobesh
- (xxx) TBB Holdings
- (xxxi) Tibbing
- (xxxii) Tiggi
- (xxxiii) Tomkat Projects
- (xxxiv) Universal
- (xxxv) Vela
- (xxxvi) WLP
- (xxxvii) Zen Foundation

- (b) failing to ensure that Wickham Loans made prior to 9 July 2006 were made for terms of no more than 12 months;

Particulars

- (i) WLP

- (c) failing to ensure that Wickham Loans made on or after 9 July 2006 were made for terms of no more than 24 months;

Particulars

- (i) WLP



- (d) failing to ensure that loan funds were not advanced until obtaining from the borrower (and any applicable guarantors) security which was sufficient to satisfy all of the obligations owed to Wickham under the applicable loan and, where applicable, any prior-ranking security interests;

Particulars

- (i) Avpri
- (ii) Ball Constructions
- (iii) BGS
- (iv) Central Avenue
- (v) Conquest
- (vi) Dooney
- (vii) Hardel
- (viii) Ingleton
- (ix) King
- (x) Kirby BTN1
- (xi) Kirby BTN5
- (xii) Kopaka
- (xiii) LCL Developments
- (xiv) Lynch
- (xv) Mackay Living
- (xvi) Mali Global
- (xvii) Mintgrove
- (xviii) MSM Developments
- (xix) Plantation Rise
- (xx) Pressmint
- (xxi) Rangegale
- (xxii) Sirford



- (xxiii) Sherwin Financial Planners
 - (xxiv) Summit View No.1
 - (xxv) Summit View Meritor
 - (xxvi) Surobesh
 - (xxvii) Tibbing
 - (xxviii) Tiggi
 - (xxix) WLP
 - (xxx) Zen Foundation
- (e) failing to ensure that loan funds were not advanced unless a registrable first or second mortgage over real property security was held to secure the principal and interest under the applicable Wickham Loan;

Particulars

- (i) Avpri
- (ii) Ball Constructions
- (iii) Conquest
- (iv) Dean
- (v) Giant Developments
- (vi) Glenview
- (vii) Hardel
- (viii) Keytan
- (ix) King
- (x) Kirby BTN1
- (xi) Kirby BTN5
- (xii) LCL Developments
- (xiii) Living on Lee
- (xiv) Lynch
- (xv) Mackay Living



- (xvi) Mintgrove
- (xvii) MSM Developments
- (xviii) Plantation Rise
- (xix) Pressmint
- (xx) Rebellious
- (xxi) Reichstein
- (xxii) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xxiii) Sirford
- (xxiv) Summit View No.1
- (xxv) Summit View Meritor
- (xxvi) Tibbing
- (xxvii) Tiggi
- (xxviii) Tomkat Projects
- (xxix) Vela
- (xxx) Wincorp
- (xxxi) WLP
- (xxxii) Zen Foundation

- (f) if Wickham required collateral security, failing to ensure that loan funds were not advanced until it had first obtained the required collateral security;

Particulars

- (i) Avpri
- (ii) Ball Constructions
- (iii) Ball & Humphreys
- (iv) Conquest
- (v) Dooney
- (vi) Epsaltos
- (vii) George



- (viii) Giant Developments
- (ix) Glenview
- (x) Hardel
- (xi) King
- (xii) Kirby BTN1
- (xiii) Kirby BTN5
- (xiv) Kopaka
- (xv) LCL Developments
- (xvi) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xvii) Living on Lee
- (xviii) Lynch
- (xix) Mackay Living
- (xx) Mali Global
- (xxi) Mintgrove
- (xxii) Pressmint
- (xxiii) Rebellious
- (xxiv) Reichstein
- (xxv) Sirford
- (xxvi) Summit View No.1
- (xxvii) Summit View Meritor
- (xxviii) Surobesh
- (xxix) Tibbing
- (xxx) Tiggi
- (xxxi) Tomkat Projects
- (xxxii) Vela
- (xxxiii) Zen Foundation



- (g) failing to ensure that the maximum amount that was advanced in respect of any Wickham Loan did not exceed the Facility Limit;

Particulars

- (i) Ball Constructions
- (ii) Ball & Humphreys
- (iii) BGS
- (iv) BHG Securities
- (v) Bucasia
- (vi) Central Avenue
- (vii) Conquest
- (viii) Dean
- (ix) Dooney
- (x) Epsaltos
- (xi) George
- (xii) Giant Developments
- (xiii) Glenview
- (xiv) Harbour Arbour
- (xv) Hardel
- (xvi) Ingleton
- (xvii) Ivory Properties
- (xviii) Keytan
- (xix) King
- (xx) Kirby BTN1
- (xxi) Kirby BTN5
- (xxii) Kopaka
- (xxiii) LCL Developments
- (xxiv) Lifestyle Resorts



- (xxv) Living on Lee
- (xxvi) Lynch
- (xxvii) Magnolia Grove
- (xxviii) Mali Global
- (xxix) Mintgrove
- (xxx) MSM Developments
- (xxxi) Plantation Rise
- (xxxii) Pressmint
- (xxxiii) Rangegale
- (xxxiv) Rebellious
- (xxxv) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xxxvi) Sirford
- (xxxvii) Summit View No.1
- (xxxviii) Summit View Meritor
- (xxxix) Surobesh
- (xl) TBB Holdings
- (xli) Tomkat Projects
- (xlii) Vela
- (xliii) Wincorp
- (xliv) WLP
- (xlv) Zen Foundation

- (h) failing to ensure that the value of real property offered as security was determined at the market value for mortgage lending purposes by a registered valuer approved by, but independent of, Wickham;

Particulars

- (i) Ball Constructions
- (ii) Ball & Humphreys



- (iii) BGS
- (iv) BHG Securities
- (v) Bucasia
- (vi) Central Avenue
- (vii) Dooney
- (viii) Epsaltos
- (ix) George
- (x) Giant Developments
- (xi) Harbour Arbour
- (xii) Hardel
- (xiii) Ingleton
- (xiv) Ivory Properties
- (xv) Keytan
- (xvi) King
- (xvii) Kirby BTN5
- (xviii) Kopaka
- (xix) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xx) Living on Lee
- (xxi) Lynch
- (xxii) Magnolia Grove
- (xxiii) Mali Global
- (xxiv) Mintgrove
- (xxv) MSM Developments
- (xxvi) Pressmint
- (xxvii) Rangegale
- (xxviii) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xxix) Sirford
- (xxx) Summit View No.1



(xxxix) Summit View Meritor

(xxxix) Surobesh

(xxxix) TBB Holdings

(xxxix) Vela

(xxxix) WLP

(xxxix) Zen Foundation

- (i) failing to ensure that valuations were less than 6 months old at the time of any Wickham Loan approval;

Particulars

(i) Avalon

(ii) Ball Constructions

(iii) Ball & Humphreys

(iv) BGS

(v) BHG Securities

(vi) Bucasia

(vii) Central Avenue

(viii) Dean

(ix) Dooney

(x) Epsaltos

(xi) George

(xii) Giant Developments

(xiii) Harbour Arbour

(xiv) Hardel

(xv) Ingleton

(xvi) Ivory Properties

(xvii) Keytan

(xviii) King



- (xix) Kirby BTN5
 - (xx) Kopaka
 - (xxi) Lifestyle Resorts
 - (xxii) Living on Lee
 - (xxiii) Lynch
 - (xxiv) Mackay Living
 - (xxv) Magnolia Grove
 - (xxvi) Mali Global
 - (xxvii) Mintgrove
 - (xxviii) MSM Developments
 - (xxix) Plantation Rise
 - (xxx) Pressmint
 - (xxxi) Rangegale
 - (xxxii) Sherwin Financial Planners
 - (xxxiii) Sirford
 - (xxxiv) Summit View No.1
 - (xxxv) Summit View Meritor
 - (xxxvi) Surobesh
 - (xxxvii) TBB Holdings
 - (xxxviii) Vela
 - (xxxix) WLP
 - (xl) Zen Foundation
- (j) in the case of development of real property (where permitted under the terms of the relevant Prospectus), failing to ensure that funds were advanced only after reviewing, and being satisfied with, a detailed feasibility report prepared by or for the borrower which evidenced the viability and profitability of the development project;



Particulars

- (i) Avalon
- (ii) Ball Constructions
- (iii) Ball & Humphreys
- (iv) Bucasia
- (v) Central Avenue
- (vi) Dean
- (vii) Dooney
- (viii) Epsaltos
- (ix) George
- (x) Giant Developments
- (xi) Glenview
- (xii) Goodwood
- (xiii) Harbour Arbour
- (xiv) Hardel
- (xv) Hongrove
- (xvi) Ingleton
- (xvii) Ivory Properties
- (xviii) Kent
- (xix) Keytan
- (xx) King
- (xxi) Kirby BTN5
- (xxii) Kopaka
- (xxiii) LCL Developments
- (xxiv) Living on Lee
- (xxv) Magnolia Grove
- (xxvi) Plantation Rise



- (xxvii) Pressmint
- (xxviii) QPP
- (xxix) Sirford
- (xxx) Summit View No.1
- (xxxi) Summit View Meritor
- (xxxii) Surobesh
- (xxxiii) TBB Holdings
- (xxxiv) Tibbing
- (xxxv) Trihedral
- (xxxvi) Universal
- (xxxvii) Vela
- (xxxviii) Zen Foundation

- (k) where the construction phase of the development had not commenced, failing to ensure that the value of real property was taken as the higher of its purchase price or its “as is” value as determined by the valuer;

Particulars

- (i) Ball Constructions
- (ii) Ball & Humphreys
- (iii) Bucasia
- (iv) George
- (v) Hardel
- (vi) Ingleton
- (vii) Ivory Properties
- (viii) Keytan
- (ix) King
- (x) Kirby BTN5
- (xi) Kopaka



- (xii) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xiii) Living on Lee
- (xiv) Plantation Rise
- (xv) Pressmint
- (xvi) Sirford
- (xvii) Summit View No.1
- (xviii) Summit View Meritor
- (xix) Surobesh
- (xx) TBB Holdings
- (xxi) Vela
- (xxii) Zen Foundation

- (l) where the construction phase had commenced, failing to ensure that the value was calculated as the “on completion” value multiplied by the percentage of completion of the development works;

Particulars

- (i) Ball Constructions
- (ii) Ball & Humphreys
- (iii) Bucasia
- (iv) Cadex Varsity
- (v) George
- (vi) Hardel
- (vii) Ivory Properties
- (viii) Keytan
- (ix) King
- (x) Kopaka
- (xi) Living on Lee
- (xii) Surobesh



- (xiii) TBB Holdings
 - (xiv) Vela
 - (xv) Zen Foundation
- (m) where the security property was improved land, failing to ensure that it was insured at the cost of the borrower to its full replacement value as at the date of the Wickham Loan;

Particulars

- (i) Ball & Humphreys
- (ii) BGS
- (iii) BHG Securities
- (iv) Dean
- (v) Dooney
- (vi) Giant Developments
- (vii) Harbour Arbour
- (viii) Hardel
- (ix) Hongrove
- (x) Ivory Properties
- (xi) Keytan
- (xii) Living on Lee
- (xiii) Magnolia Grove
- (xiv) Mintgrove
- (xv) MSM Developments
- (xvi) Plantation Rise
- (xvii) Rangegale
- (xviii) Rebellious
- (xix) Surobesh
- (xx) TBB Holdings
- (xxi) Tomkat Projects



- (xxii) Wincorp
- (xxiii) WLP
- (n) failing to ensure that, prior to agreeing to any proposed loan, the ability of any potential borrower to meet payments of interest and principal when due under the proposed loan had been assessed;

Particulars

- (i) Avalon
- (ii) Avpri
- (iii) Ball Constructions
- (iv) Ball & Humphreys
- (v) BGS
- (vi) BHG Securities
- (vii) Bucasia
- (viii) Cadex Varsity
- (ix) Central Avenue
- (x) Conquest
- (xi) Dean
- (xii) Dooney
- (xiii) Epsaltos
- (xiv) Everton Park
- (xv) George
- (xvi) Giant Developments
- (xvii) Glenview
- (xviii) Goodwood
- (xix) Harbour Arbour
- (xx) Hardel
- (xxi) Hongrove



- (xxii) Ingleton
- (xxiii) Ivory Properties
- (xxiv) Kent
- (xxv) Keytan
- (xxvi) King
- (xxvii) Kirby BTN1
- (xxviii) Kirby BTN5
- (xxix) Kopaka
- (xxx) LCL Developments
- (xxxi) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xxxii) Living on Lee
- (xxxiii) Lynch
- (xxxiv) Mackay Living
- (xxxv) Magnolia Grove
- (xxxvi) Mali Global
- (xxxvii) Mintgrove
- (xxxviii) MSM Developments
- (xxxix) PBI Kelvin Grove
- (xl) Plantation Rise
- (xli) Pressmint
- (xlii) QPP
- (xliii) Rangegale
- (xliv) Rebellious
- (xlv) Reichstein
- (xlvi) Sherben
- (xlvii) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xlviii) Sirford
- (xlix) Summit View No.1



- (l) Summit View Meritor
 - (li) Surobesh
 - (lii) TBB Holdings
 - (liii) Tibbing
 - (liv) Tiggi
 - (lv) Tomkat Projects
 - (lvi) Trihedral
 - (lvii) Universal
 - (lviii) Vela
 - (lix) Wincorp
 - (lx) WLP
 - (lxi) Zen Foundation
- (o) failing to ensure that the credit assessment process included obtaining a credit bureau reference check in respect of each borrower or third party security provider, which was less than 6 months old at the time of the Wickham Loan approval.

Particulars

- (i) Avalon
- (ii) Avpri
- (iii) Ball Constructions
- (iv) Ball & Humphreys
- (v) BGS
- (vi) BHG Securities
- (vii) Bucasia
- (viii) Cadex Varsity
- (ix) Central Avenue
- (x) Conquest



- (xi) Dean
- (xii) Dooney
- (xiii) Epsaltos
- (xiv) Everton Park
- (xv) George
- (xvi) Giant Developments
- (xvii) Glenview
- (xviii) Goodwood
- (xix) Hardel
- (xx) Hongrove
- (xxi) Ingleton
- (xxii) Ivory Properties
- (xxiii) Kent
- (xxiv) Keytan
- (xxv) King
- (xxvi) Kirby BTN1
- (xxvii) Kirby BTN5
- (xxviii)Kopaka
- (xxix) LCL Developments
- (xxx) Lifestyle Resorts
- (xxxi) Living on Lee
- (xxxii) Lynch
- (xxxiii)Mackay Living
- (xxxiv)Magnolia Grove
- (xxxv) Mali Global
- (xxxvi)Mintgrove
- (xxxvii) MSM Developments
- (xxxviii) PBI Kelvin Grove



- (xxxix) Plantation Rise
- (xl) Pressmint
- (xli) QPP
- (xlii) Rangegale
- (xliii) Rebellious
- (xliv) Reichstein
- (xlv) Sherben
- (xlvi) Sherwin Financial Planners
- (xlvii) Sirford
- (xlviii) Summit View No.1
- (xlix) Summit View Meritor
- (l) TBB Holdings
- (li) Tibbing
- (lii) Tomkat Projects
- (liii) Trihedral
- (liv) Universal
- (lv) Vela
- (lvi) Wincorp
- (lvii) WLP
- (lviii) Zen Foundation

Breaches of the Business Conduct Obligation

17. During the period from about 8 June 2005 until 21 December 2012, Wickham breached the Business Conduct Obligation and section 283BB(a) of the *Corporations Act* by:
- (a) providing finance in a manner that did not comply with the Lending and Security Criteria;



Particulars

- (i) The pleadings and particulars at paragraph 16 above are repeated.
- (ii) The time period in respect of each breach of the Lending and Security Criteria set out in paragraphs 16(a)-(o) above is specified below in respect of each of the loans:

1. Avalon	21.01.11 to 21.12.12
2. Avpri	30.09.06 to 21.12.12
3. Ball Constructions	29.02.08 to 21.12.12
4. Ball & Humphreys	26.05.10 to 21.12.12
5. BGS	06.04.06 to 21.12.12
6. Cadex Varsity	26.02.10 to 21.12.12
7. Central Avenue	24.10.06 to 21.12.12
8. Conquest	30.08.06 to 21.12.12
9. Dean	23.11.07 to 21.12.12
10. Dooney	01.11.06 to 21.12.12
11. Epsaltos	06.01.11 to 21.12.12
12. George	13.07.06 to 21.12.12
13. Hardel	09.12.05 to 21.12.12
14. Hongrove	08.06.12 to 21.12.12
15. Ingleton	11.10.08 to 21.12.12
16. Ivory Properties	13.12.06 to 21.12.12
17. King	13.07.06 to 21.12.12
18. Kirby BTN1	24.10.06 to 21.12.12
19. Kirby BTN5	24.10.06 to 21.12.12
20. Kopaka	05.04.07 to 21.12.12



21. LCL Developments	20.03.08 to 21.12.12
22. Lifestyle Resorts	13.06.07 to 21.12.12
23. Living on Lee	01.12.10 to 21.12.12
24. Lynch	13.07.06 to 21.12.12
25. Mackay Living	19.07.07 to 21.12.12
26. Mali Global	01.08.06 to 21.12.12
27. Mintgrove	13.03.07 to 21.12.12
28. MSM Developments	02.03.07 to 21.12.12
29. PBI Kelvin Grove	08.06.07 to 21.12.12
30. Plantation Rise	12.05.06 to 21.12.12
31. Pressmint	01.11.06 to 21.12.12
32. QPP	09.12.09 to 21.12.12
33. Rangegale	06.11.06 to 21.12.12
34. Rebellious	23.11.07 to 21.12.12
35. Reichstein	13.07.10 to 21.12.12
36. Sherben	19.05.06 to 21.12.12
37. Sherwin Financial Planners	17.08.12 to 21.12.12
38. Sirford	18.01.08 to 21.12.12
39. Summit View No.1	20.07.10 to 21.12.12
40. Summit View Meritor	30.04.10 to 21.12.12
41. Surobesh	27.09.06 to 21.12.12
42. TBB Holdings	23.01.06 to 21.12.12
43. Tibbing	06.06.06 to 21.12.12
44. Tiggi	29.06.06 to 21.12.12
45. Tomkat Projects	05.03.07 to 21.12.12



46. Trihedral	10.10.05 to 21.12.12
47. Universal	08.07.08 to 21.12.12
48. Vela	04.02.10 to 21.12.12
49. Wincorp	23.06.08 to 21.12.12
50. WLP	16.11.07 to 21.12.12
51. Zen Foundation	08.02.06 to 21.12.12

- (b) failing to properly conduct and manage its business operations;

Particulars

- (i) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain or keep any or any proper management accounts or loan balance records.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PPB Advisory, p15;
(B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.

- (ii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain or keep any or any proper records, either electronic or hard copy, detailing how interest had been calculated or the individual loan transactions themselves.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PPB Advisory, p15;
(B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.

- (iii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain or keep records that correctly recorded or explained its loan transactions and financial position and performance in accordance with s.286(1)(a) of the *Corporations Act*.

Sub-particulars



- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PPB Advisory, p22;
 - (B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.
- (iv) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain or keep records that would enable true and fair financial statements to be prepared and audited in accordance with s.286(1)(b) of the *Corporations Act*.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PPB Advisory, p22;
 - (B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.
- (v) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not have cash flow forecasts demonstrating it was able to pay its debts as and when they fell due.

Sub-particulars

- Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.24.
- (vi) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham maintained two different versions of lists of loans: one list detailed 18 loans with outstanding balances of \$27.094m and the other detailing 21 loans with a total outstanding balance of \$28.180m.

Sub-particulars

- Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.26
- (vii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not issue loan statements to borrowers on a regular basis.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.11;
- (B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.



- (viii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham relied upon valuation reports which had been neither addressed to nor assigned to it.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.16;
- (B) Email sent at 1.03pm on 10 September 2008 from R. Maxwell, Wickham, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.

- (ix) from 23 January 2006 to 21 December 2012, Wickham held loan files which did not contain a copy of the loan approval by its Board or its Investment Committee.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.16.

- (x) from 1 January 2012 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain any loan files for loans made in 2012.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.16;
- (B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd., to H. Williams, Sandhurst.

- (xi) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did its own research utilising R.P. data to determine the value of the security properties rather than relying on valuation reports.

Sub-particulars

Email sent at 2.55pm on 19 September 2012 from G. Robertson, Wickham, to F. O'Brien, Sandhurst.

- (xii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham held loan files which did not contain current correspondence between it and its borrowers.



Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.16.

- (xiii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham held loan files, the majority of which contained no approvals of loan extensions beyond the initial approved term.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.16;
- (B) Email sent at 3.22pm on 28 November 2012 from M. Griffin, Bendigo and Adelaide Bank Ltd., to H. Williams, Sandhurst.

- (xiv) Wickham falsified its records by:

- (A) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, recording inaccurately the position and quality of its loan portfolio;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.6.

- (B) from 14 January 2012 to 21 December 2012, recording transfers from Noteholders received after the closing of the 15 December 2010 Prospectus as secured loan repayments;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.16.

- (C) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, recording two secured loans totally \$699,000 that never existed;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.15.

- (D) from 15 December 2010 to 27 November 2012, recording the transfers of \$42,050 to the Robertson Super Fund and \$719,454 to Garth Robertson as loan drawdowns for borrowers;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.16.



- (E) from 1 July 2010 to 21 December 2012, not recording in any loan ledger payments totalling \$4,730,000 made to Summit View;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.16.

- (F) on or about 30 June 2012, recording that its loan portfolio consisted of 21 loans totalling \$28.81m as at 30 June 2012 when it actually consisted of 22 loans;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.6.

- (G) on or about 30 November 2012, creating a bank account statement indicating the balance of its “Application Account” was \$10,779,835m as at 30 November 2012, when in fact the actual balance was \$264,892 as at that date.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.16.

- (H) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, reporting loans as repaid when in fact they had not been;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 April 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.2.

- (xv) from January 2008 to 21 December 2012, Wickham did not maintain any records for 146 of the total of 2,026 debt and credit transactions recorded in its bank accounts since January 2008 (total unreconciled deposits of \$7,328,959 and total unreconciled withdrawals of \$6,710,102).

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

- (xvi) from 14 January 2012 to 21 December 2012, Wickham continued to accept amounts from Noteholders after the 15 December 2010 Prospectus had closed on 14 January 2012.



Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 29 January 2013 by PBB Advisory, p.6.

(xvii) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham continued to record loans as current loans and as “current assets” in its financial accounts despite the fact that:

(A) first mortgagees had issued notices of default to borrowers, entered into possession of security properties or taken some other recovery action;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(B) winding up proceedings against borrowers had commenced;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(C) a liquidator to a borrower had been appointed;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(D) a lender had appointed a controller to repossess and sell leased assets of a borrower;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(E) a guarantor director of a borrower had entered into bankruptcy;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(F) a corporate borrower had been struck off the ASIC register;

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.17.

(xviii) As at 30 June 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012, Wickham provided for doubtful debts of \$105,000 for FY08, \$625,000 for FY09 to FY12



when such provisioning was not consistent with the loan balances as at 30 June of each of those years.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.18.

- (xix) During the 6 months ended 31 December 2005 Wickham breached clause 6.4(a) of the Trust Deed by failing to maintain its Net Tangible Assets with a value of at least equal to the Minimum Capital of \$300,000 at the time.

Sub-particulars

Wickham's financial accounts for the half year to December 2005 recorded the NTA of \$160,969.

- (xx) During the 6 months ended 30 June 2010 Wickham breached clause 6.4(a) of the Trust Deed by failing to maintain its Net Tangible Assets with a value of at least equal to Minimum Capital of \$662,906 at the time.

Sub-particulars

Wickham's financial accounts for the year ended 30 June 2010 recorded the NTA of \$618,341.

- (xxi) For each quarter commencing 31 December 2010 and continuing to 21 December 2012, Wickham failed to comply with its undertaking to Sandhurst given on 18 December 2009 as varied on 28 March 2010 that it would retain a minimum of 10% of its profits as retained profits each quarter with a minimum quarterly retention of \$15,000 (increased to \$40,000 from 28 March 2010) until total equity equalled \$1,000,000.

Sub-particulars

- (A) Email sent at 9.12am on 18 December 2010 from B. Sherwin, Wickham, to H. Williams, Sandhurst;
- (B) Email sent at 4.27pm on 28 March 2010 from B. Sherwin, Wickham, to H. Williams, Sandhurst.



- (xxii) From 15 December 2010 to 21 December 2010 Wickham caused transfers totalling \$44,050 to be made from its bank account to an account held by Robertson Super Fund.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.15.

- (xxiii) ~~From~~ On or about 22 February 2011, 21 July 2011, 26 October 2011, 29 May 2012, 8 June 2012, 12 November 2012, 21 November 2012 and 27 November 2012 Wickham caused transfers totalling \$719,454 to be made from its bank account to an account held by Mr. Garth Robertson, a former director of Wickham.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.15.

- (xxiv) On 6 December 2011 Wickham caused the payment of \$95,113 to be made from its bank account to the building company contracted by Bradley Sherwin's wife, Deborah Sherwin, to complete renovations to the former residence of Bradley Sherwin, a director of Wickham.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p. 16.

- (xxv) During the 6 months ended 31 December 2009 Wickham made payments to its shareholders, Sherwin Financial Planners Pty Ltd and Reacraft Pty Ltd, as dividends in circumstances where the payments exceeded by an amount of \$1,089,883 the funds available to be paid as dividends.

Sub-particulars

(A) Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.19.

(B) 17 June 2010 Prospectus, p.1.

- (xxvi) Wickham re-categorised the overpayment of \$1,089,883 as an unsecured loan to Sherwin Financial Planners Pty Ltd, which was a related party, and without proper documentation or loan approval before it was made.



Sub-particulars

- (A) Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PBB Advisory, p.19.
 - (B) 17 June 2010 Prospectus, p.1.
- (xxvii) Wickham failed to follow the 9-stage decision making process for making loans to borrowers.
- (xxviii) ~~Prior~~ From 8 June 2005 to 8 July 2006 Wickham lent funds raised through the issuing of capital in notes to finance property development projects.
- (xxix) On or after 9 July 2006 to 21 December 2012 Wickham applied more than 40% of its total assets in the financing of property development projects.
- (xxx) From 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham failed to make loans by the unanimous decision of the Investment Committee.
- (xxxi) From 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, Wickham failed to conduct a thorough assessment process involving due diligence, market research and feasibility studies before deciding to make any loan to a borrower.
- (xxxii) From 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, The Investment Committee failed to monitor actively or at all Wickham's risk exposure and level of return.
- (xxxiii) From 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, The Investment Committee was not involved in the ongoing management of loans which included periodically monitoring the amount of new loans against the value of real estate taken as security for those loans.
- (c) from 8 June 2005 to 21 December 2012, failing to establish and maintain adequate systems and management control processes to monitor and ensure Wickham's compliance with the Trust Deed, the *Corporations Act* and the Lending and Security Criteria;

Particulars



- (i) There was no system or management control process to ensure that all Wickham Loans were fully documented and made on an arm's length, commercial basis as to interest, terms and security.

Sub-particulars

(A) The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(i) are repeated.

(B) Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PPB Advisory, p17.

- (ii) Prior to 9 July 2006 there was no adequate system or management control process to ensure that Wickham Loans made were for terms of no more than 12 months.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(ii) are repeated.

- (iii) On or after 9 July 2006 there was no system or management control process to ensure that Wickham Loans made were made for terms of no more than 24 months.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(ii) are repeated.

- (iv) There was no system or management control process to ensure that each extension of the term of a Wickham Loan was properly documented and made in accordance with reasonably prudent lending practices.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PPB Advisory, p17.

- (v) There was no system or management control process to ensure that loan funds would not be advanced until obtaining from the borrower (and any applicable guarantors) security that was sufficient to satisfy all of the borrower's obligations under the applicable Wickham Loan and, where applicable, any prior-ranking security interests.



Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(iii) are repeated.

- (vi) There was no system or management control process to ensure that loan funds would not be advanced unless a registrable first or second mortgage over real property security was held to secure the principal and interest under the applicable Wickham Loan.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(iv) are repeated.

- (vii) There was no system or management control process to ensure that, if collateral security was required, then loan funds would not be advanced until the required collateral security had first been obtained.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(v) are repeated.

- (viii) There was no system or management control process to ensure that the maximum amount that was advanced in respect of any Wickham Loan did not exceed the Facility Limit.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(vi)(vii) are repeated.

- (ix) There was no system or management control process to ensure that the value of real property offered as security was determined at the market value for mortgage lending purposes by a registered valuer approved by, but independent of, Wickham.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(viii) are repeated.



- (x) There was no system or management control process to ensure that valuations were less than 6 months old at the time of any Wickham Loan approval.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xi) are repeated.

- (xi) There was no system or management control process to ensure that in the case of development of real property (where permitted under the terms of the relevant Prospectus) funds were advanced only after reviewing, and being satisfied with, a detailed feasibility report prepared by or for the borrower which evidenced the viability and profitability of the development project.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(x) are repeated.

- (xii) There was no system or management control process to ensure that where the construction phase of the development had not commenced, the value of real property was taken as the high of its purchase price or its “as is” value as determined by the valuer.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xi) are repeated.

- (xiii) There was no system or management control process to ensure that where the construction phase had commenced the value would be calculated as the “on completion” value multiplied by the percentage of completion of the development works.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xii) are repeated.



- (xiv) There was no system or management control process to ensure that where the security property was improved land, it was insured at the cost of the borrower to its full replacement value as at the date of the Wickham Loan.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xiii) are repeated.

- (xv) There was no system or management control process to ensure that prior to agreeing to any proposed loan, the ability of any potential borrower to meet payments of interest and principal when due under the proposed loan had been assessed.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xiv) are repeated.

- (xvi) There was no system or management control process to ensure that the credit assessment process would include obtaining a credit bureau reference check in respect of each borrower or third party security provider, which must be less than 6 months old at the time of the Wickham Loan approval.

Sub-particulars

The pleadings and particulars at paragraphs 8(f)(i) & (g)(xv) are repeated.

- (xvii) There was no, or at least no adequate, system for preparing and maintaining loan records, including account ledgers and statements, for the Wickham Loans.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PPB Advisory, p7 and 11.

- (xviii) There was no system or management control process to identify and monitor borrowers in default of their loan agreements.



- (xix) There was no system or management control process to ensure that recovery/legal action would be commenced once a Wickham Loan account remained in arrears for one month.
- (xx) There was no, or at least no adequate, reporting to facilitate compliance monitoring for internal management, board supervision and external supervision (Sandhurst).
- (xxi) There was no, or at least no adequate, system or procedure of reviewing valuations:
 - (A) to ensure that the assumptions therein were appropriately made and/or accurate;
 - (B) to determine the currency of the valuations;
 - (C) to determine whether the valuations were carried out on a consistent basis;
 - (D) to determine whether the valuation methodology was appropriate;
 - (E) to determine whether the valuer had any conflict of interest in providing valuations to Wickham (for instance by reason of having valued the property for the borrower).
- (xxii) There was no, or at least no adequate, system of internal control which resulted in a high degree of non-existent, inadequate or incorrect valuations;
- (xxiii) There was no, or at least no adequate, system or procedure for ensuring that valuations assumed the value of the security on the basis of a mortgagee in possession or distressed sale as was likely to be the case in relation to non-performing Wickham Loans.
- (xxiv) There was no, or at least no adequate, a system or procedure for determining:
 - (A) whether the securities for a non-performing Wickham Loan would be enforced, and if so how;



- (B) how long it would take to enforce a security for a non-performing Wickham Loan and the costs associated with any delays in realisation;
- (C) the most appropriate recovery strategy in relation to non-performing Wickham Loans;
- (xxv) There was no, or at least no adequate, system of internal control for the prompt commencement of recovery action in relation to securities for non-performing Wickham Loans and there was no system for reporting and dealing with delays in recovery.
- (xxvi) There was no, or at least no adequate, system or procedure for determining the holding costs, realisation costs and other costs associated with holding or selling securities with regard to non-performing Wickham Loans and the effect of those costs on the Facility Limit.
- (xxvii) There was no, or at least no adequate, system or procedure for ensuring the adequacy of provisioning for non-performing loans or doubtful debts.

Sub-particulars

Report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 by PPB Advisory, pp17-18 & 24-27.

Trustee's obligation to ensure compliance with Trust Deed and Corporations Act

- 18. In order to discharge its obligations to ensure that Wickham complied with the provisions of the Trust Deed and the *Corporations Act*, a trustee exercising reasonable diligence in the position of Sandhurst would have done at least the following things:
 - (a) prior to, or at least soon after, its appointment as trustee:
 - (i) reviewed the terms of the Trust Deed to identify and understand the covenants, duties and restrictions imposed upon Wickham in carrying on and conducting its business;



- (ii) reviewed any prospectus currently issued or proposed to be issued by Wickham for the issuing of Notes under the Trust Deed to identify and understand any representations made to prospective Noteholders about how Wickham intended to carry on and conduct its business;
- (iii) made enquiries about the directors and senior managers of Wickham so as to be reasonably satisfied that they had appropriate experience and qualifications to be able to ensure that the business of Wickham was carried on and conducted in a proper and efficient manner;
- (iv) made enquiries about the business operations of Wickham so as to be reasonably satisfied about the existence of adequate systems, procedures and resources that would enable the business of Wickham to be carried on and conducted in a proper and efficient manner, particularly in respect of:
 - (A) establishing and managing the Wickham Loans;
 - (B) risk management and compliance reporting;
 - (C) financial management, accounting and reporting;
 - (D) reporting to the trustee for Noteholders;
 - (E) core management functions;
- (v) inspected the physical records maintained by Wickham in respect of:
 - (A) at least the largest non-performing Wickham Loan; or
 - (B) if not the largest non-performing Wickham Loan, then at least one of the two next largest non-performing Wickham Loans,
 - (C) at least two conforming Wickham Loans; andso as to ascertain that each such Wickham Loan complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Trust Deed; and



- (b) after its appointment as trustee, from time to time but at least every 12 months:
 - (i) made enquiries about the business operations of Wickham so as to be reasonably satisfied that the systems, procedures and resources were adequate to enable Wickham to carry on and conduct its business in a proper and efficient manner, particularly in respect of:
 - (A) management of the Wickham Loans;
 - (B) risk management and compliance reporting;
 - (C) financial management, accounting and reporting;
 - (D) reporting to the trustee for Noteholders;
 - (E) core management functions;
 - (ii) inspected the physical records maintained by Wickham in respect of:
 - (A) at least the largest non-performing Wickham Loan; or
 - (B) if not the largest non-performing Wickham Loan, then at least one of the two next largest non-performing Wickham Loans, and
 - (C) at least two conforming Wickham Loans,so as to ascertain that the Wickham Loan complied in all material respects with the requirements of the Trust Deed.
- (c) required Wickham to provide to Sandhurst on a monthly basis in respect of the preceding month:
 - (i) details of each Wickham Loan committed during the month and the loan securities taken for that loan; and
 - (ii) particulars of mortgage arrears at the end of the month and action taken by Wickham to recover those arrears.
- (d) upon receipt of each quarterly report by Wickham:



- (i) considered the information provided in the report, having regard to the matters referred to in s283BF(4) of the *Corporations Act*; and
- (ii) exercised reasonable diligence to satisfy itself that the information provided was complete and accurate in all material respects.

Sandhurst's failure to exercise reasonable diligence

19. If a trustee exercising reasonable diligence in the position of Sandhurst had done the things referred to in paragraph 18 above, it would have:
- (a) prior to, or at least soon after, its appointment as trustee, ascertained all, or at least many, of the matters referred to in paragraph 18(a) above;
 - (b) after its appointment as trustee, and in or about each 12 month period thereafter, ascertained all, or at least many, of:
 - (i) the matters referred to in paragraphs 18(b), (c) and (d) above; and/or
 - (ii) the matters referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above that had occurred prior to that time in respect of one or more of the three largest non-performing Wickham Loans and at least two conforming Wickham Loans;
 - (c) upon ascertaining all or any of the matters referred to in sub-paragraph 18(b) above, inspected the physical records maintained by Wickham in respect of all, or at least many, of the other Wickham Loans and thereby ascertained all, or at least many, of the matters referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above that had occurred prior to that time in respect of those Wickham Loans.
20. From about 8 June 2005 until about 21 December 2012, Sandhurst failed to do the things and did not make any, or at least any adequate, enquiries of the kind referred to in paragraphs 18 and 19 above, and therefore did not, at any time prior to 31 December 2007, or alternatively by no later than about 21 December 2012, ascertain any of the matters referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above.



Sandhurst's breaches of the Reasonable Diligence Covenant and contraventions of s283DA(b)(ii) of the Corporations Act

21. By reason of the matters referred to in paragraph 20 above, Sandhurst breached the Reasonable Diligence Covenant and contravened s283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act* in that it failed to exercise reasonable diligence to ascertain whether Wickham had committed breaches of:
- (a) the Lending and Security Criteria, as pleaded at paragraph 16 above;
 - (b) the Business Conduct Requirement and the provisions of s 283BB (a) of the *Corporations Act*, as pleaded at paragraph 17 above.

Loss or Damage

22. In the premises, if Sandhurst had exercised reasonable diligence as required by the Reasonable Diligence Covenant and s 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act*, it would have ascertained:
- (a) by no later than about 31 December 2005; or
 - (b) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2006; or
 - (c) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2006; or
 - (d) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2007; or
 - (e) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2007; or
 - (f) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2008; or
 - (g) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2008; or
 - (h) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2009; or
 - (i) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2009; or



- (j) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2010; or
- (k) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2010; or
- (l) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2011; or
- (m) if not then, by no later than about 31 December 2011; or
- (n) if not then, by no later than about 30 June 2012.

that Wickham had committed all, or at least many, of the breaches referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above that had occurred prior to that date.

23. Upon ascertaining all, or at least many, of the matters referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above, a trustee exercising reasonable diligence in the position of Sandhurst would have served on Wickham a notice specifying such breaches and requiring Wickham to remedy such breaches within 21 days.

Particulars

- (a) Unsecured Note Trust Deed, clause 12.1(c); and
 - (b) *Corporations Act*, s.283DA (c)(ii)
24. A trustee exercising reasonable diligence in the position of Sandhurst, after requiring Wickham to remedy such breaches, would have ascertained whether those breaches had been remedied by Wickham.
25. If a trustee exercising reasonable diligence in the position of Sandhurst had ascertained that Wickham had failed to remedy such breaches, it would have applied to the Court for an order appointing a receiver to the property of Wickham.

Particulars

Corporations Act, s.283HB(1)(g)

Corporations Act, s.1323(1)(h)

Federal Court Act 1976, s.57



26. If Sandhurst had:

- (a) required Wickham to remedy all existing breaches, as pleaded in paragraph 23 above; and
- (b) ascertained that such breaches had been remedied by Wickham, as pleaded in paragraph 24 above;

or further and alternatively:

- (c) applied to the Court for, and obtained, an order appointing a receiver to the property of Wickham constituting security for the Notes, as pleaded in paragraph 25 above,

then the Noteholders would not have suffered any loss of their Note Money.

Particulars

- (i) If Wickham had remedied all breaches referred to in paragraphs 16 and 17 above, then the amount of each loan of Note Money would have been repaid or recovered in full.
- (ii) If Wickham had been placed into receivership pursuant to an order of the Court as pleaded in paragraph 26 (c) above, then the amount of each loan of Note Money would have been repaid or recovered in full.
- (iii) At all material times up to and including 31 December 2007 the value of the assets of Wickham that would have been realised by a receiver ~~and manager~~ would have exceeded all of Wickham's liabilities, including the amount of the Outstanding Money.

Sub-particulars

The audited financial statements for Wickham Securities for the financial years ended 30 June 2005, 2006 and 2007, and the half years ending 31 December 2005, 2006 and 2007 show that as at ~~that each~~ balance date the assets of Wickham exceeded its liabilities.

- (iv) If Wickham had been placed into receivership pursuant to an order of the Court as pleaded in paragraph 26 (c) above, Group Members who



were issued debentures after the dated receivers were appointed would not have acquired those debentures.

27. Prior to 31 December 2007, or alternatively about 21 December 2012, Sandhurst did not do any of the things referred to in paragraph 26 above.
28. By reason of Sandhurst's breaches of the Reasonable Diligence Covenant and contraventions of s 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act*, the Group Members and each of them have suffered loss and damage.

Particulars

- (a) The particulars at paragraph 26 above are repeated.
- (b) The assets of Wickham that have been sold were realised for amounts significantly less than the amounts they should have been realised if Sandhurst had done the things referred to in paragraph 26 above.
- (c) There are approximately 138 noteholders (including the plaintiffs) who are group members by reason of signing the funding agreement with Litman Holdings Ltd. The face value of their notes is in excess of approximately \$23,667,403.
- (d) ~~[deleted]The liquidators have estimated in their report to creditors dated 16 June 2014 (p29) that the dividend to be paid to noteholders will be approximately 94.3 cents in the dollar.~~
- (e) ~~[deleted]Accordingly, the present loss of the group members (including the plaintiffs) is approximately \$20,274,500 not including interest and costs.~~
- (f) If Wickham had been placed into receivership pursuant to an order of the Court as pleaded in paragraph 26 (c) above, then the amount of each loan of debenture moneys would have been repaid or recovered in full.
- (g) From the realisation of the assets of Wickham each Group Member has, as at 2 October 2015, received 3.43 cents in the dollar of the value of their debentures. The liquidators of Wickham have since advised that the estimated net dividend to Group Members is in the range of 3.43 cents to 6.0 cents in the dollar.



- (h) If Wickham had been placed into receivership pursuant to an order of the Court as pleaded in paragraph 26 (c) above, Group Members who held debentures as at that date suffered loss of the difference in the return in paragraph (f) of these particulars and the actual return in paragraph (g) of these particulars.
- (i) If Wickham had been placed into receivership pursuant to an order of the Court as pleaded in paragraph 26 (c) above, Group Members who were issued debentures after that date suffered the loss of the difference between the issue price (\$1 for each debenture) and the actual return in paragraph (g) of these particulars.
- (j) Each Group Member has lost between 94 and 96.57 cents in the dollar of the value of their debentures depending upon the amount of any future distributions.
29. The Plaintiffs seek damages against Sandhurst for the loss and damage suffered by each of the Group Members by reason of its breaches of the Reasonable Diligence Covenant in the Trust Deed.
30. Further or in the alternative, the Plaintiffs seek an order under s 283F(1)(a) of the *Corporations Act* that Sandhurst pay compensation to the Group Members and each of them for the loss or damage suffered by each of them by reason of its contraventions of s 283DA(b)(ii) of the *Corporations Act*.



Date: 2016

Signed by Janice Mary Saddler

Lawyer for the Plaintiffs

This pleading was prepared by G Drew, counsel, and settled by AS Martin SC.

Certificate of lawyer

I Janice Mary Saddler certify to the Court that, in relation to the amended statement of claim filed on behalf of the Plaintiffs, the factual and legal material available to me at present provides a proper basis for each allegation in the pleading.

Date: 2016

Signed by Janice Mary Saddler

Lawyer for the Plaintiffs